

A brief review of American censorship mechanisms and laws prohibiting them

Censorship is the death knell of democracy



"The further a society drifts from the truth, the more it will hate those that speak it."

George Orwell

<https://thegreatlinking.org>

By Gregory Brundage

Introduction

This article very briefly outlines 1) the specific mechanisms of American censorship, 2) relevant laws and court decisions prohibiting such actions and 3) the inevitable global catastrophic consequences of failing to restore freedom of the press in the very near future based upon historical evidence.

When one nation so totally dominates world communications systems as the US so-called "defense industry" does via a unified block of monopolist organizations dominated by Google, MSN and Facebook, while simultaneously using digitalized "filtering" mechanisms" to strongly suppress all dissenting views such as are named and described below, freedom of the press has been effectively demolished. This closed-loop information system that has been created by and within the US is the single greatest threat to democracy since Macedonian King Philip II's takeover of Athens and Thebes in 371 BC.

"A new power had begun to assert its leadership over the country: Macedonia. Once a backwater, the Macedonian king Philip II had turned his country into a military powerhouse. Philip's decisive victory came in 338 BC, when he defeated a combined force from Athens and Thebes. A year later Philip formed the League of Corinth which established him as the ruler, or hegemon, of a federal Greece. Democracy in Athens had finally come to an end. The destiny of Greece would thereafter become inseparable with the empire of Philip's son: Alexander the Great."

<https://www.pbs.org/empires/thegreeks/background/48.html>

That, however was not a nuclear armed world and the dangers of the US defense department's usurpation of global information systems and consequent mortal wounding of freedom of the press at the foundation of democracy, creates the single greatest danger humanity has ever faced. The mechanisms described below are equivalent to the victory of Phillip II, as they represent only the interests of a relatively small group of militaristic interests and the tiny percentage of humanity invested in those interests. The inevitable consequences of creating and maintaining in perpetuity a monolithic militaristic world power totally dominated by a very small percentage of humanity are catastrophic.

People can close their eyes and try to live in denial of the realities of this process, however like the proverbial ostrich attempting to hide its head in the sand, there already have been and will continue to be catastrophic consequences, paid for by this and succeeding generations. The longer this "situation" continues unaddressed, the greater will be those catastrophic consequences.

This is not a US vs. China or Russia issue. This is not a US vs. people of color issue. This is an issue strongly affecting the entirety of humanity. For example, even within the US and Western Europe the ranks of the poor have grown enormously especially since the outbreak of COVID-19, and historically, radical economic polarizations have always led to implosions. When this occurs on a global scale, only the worst possible outcomes can be predicted.

Government, and government sponsored censorship/manipulation of news in violation of First Amendment Rights, SCOTUS¹ decisions and other laws

1960s Censorship mechanisms

Council on foreign Relations and later CIA control of American Newspaper Guild (ANG) & Postal, Telephone & Telegraph International (PTTI) though Communication Workers of America (CWA) <http://www.hartford-hwp.com/archives/42a/126.html> also see: http://www.carlbernstein.com/magazine_cia_and_media.php

¹ Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS)

Some of today's censorship mechanisms

Harvard Kennedy School's Shorenstein Center on Media, Politics and Public Policy

<https://www.hks.harvard.edu/faculty-research/policy-topics/science-technology-data/tackling-disinformation>

US (State Department) Agency for Global Media - Global Engagement Center

<https://www.usagm.gov/>

<https://www.state.gov/bureaus-offices/under-secretary-for-public-diplomacy-and-public-affairs/global-engagement-center/>

<https://fas.org/sgp/crs/row/IN10744.pdf>

Mis-, Dis-, Malinformation (MDM) / Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) formerly known as FBI's "Foreign Influence Task Force" (FTIF)

<https://www.cisa.gov/mdm>

<https://thegrayzone.com/2020/06/05/the-fbi-launches-open-attack-on-foreign-alternative-media-outlets-challenging-u-s-foreign-policy/>

<https://www.laprogressive.com/herald-tribune/>

<https://www.cyberscoop.com/iran-disinformation-irtvu-presstv/>

Atlantic Council's Digital Forensic Research Lab (DFRLab)

<https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/dfrlab-china-reports>

Page on: "Countering Chinese disinformation reports - In-Depth Research & Reports by Digital Forensic Research Lab" Related Experts: Iain Robertson


<https://thegrayzone.com/2020/04/20/trump-media-chinese-lab-coronavirus-conspiracy>

The Atlantic Council's DFRLab runs similar kinds of smear campaigns against Russia.

<https://thegrayzone.com/2020/10/01/fbi-peacedata-russia-censorship/>

Graphika an FBI and a Pentagon-backed private intelligence firm

Graphika terminates sites it finds "suspicious" without proof. ...shadowy tech firm Graphika, which has been a key institutional force driving Russiagate conspiracies in the US and Western Europe.



<https://thegrayzone.com/2019/12/08/us-uk-military-intelligence-apparatus-destroy-jeremy-corbyn/>

Graphika's director of investigations, [Ben Nimmo](#) is also a senior fellow at NATO's de facto think tank, the Atlantic Council, which is funded by the governments of the US, Britain, and the United Arab Emirates, along with NATO and the European Union. And he helps lead the Atlantic Council's Digital Forensic Research Lab.

USC Center on Public Diplomacy

Acts as a front for a number of shadowy government propaganda organizations defaming China, Russia, Iran, Syria, etc. <https://uscpublicdiplomacy.org/> If an organization wants to publish very negative reviews about any of American DoD's target countries, this is the place to do it.

<https://uscpublicdiplomacy.org/pdhub/library/search/US%2520Foreign%2520Policy%2520Tools%2520in%2520the%2520Era%2520of%2520Disinformation>

Coda Story – Anonymous attacks on western journalists who investigate D.C.'s narrative about China

<https://thegrayzone.com/2020/08/18/us-government-funded-coda-story>

Propaganda Or Not? (Propornot)

Created a public black list for publications and journalists that might make Russia look good.

<http://www.propornot.com/p/the-list.html>

Under Secretary of State for Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs:

Oversees three bureaus at the Department of State: [Educational and Cultural Affairs](#), [Public Affairs](#), and [International Information Programs](#). Also reporting to the Under Secretary are the Office of Policy, Planning and Resources for Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs and the Advisory Commission on Public Diplomacy.

https://www.fiiia.fi/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/bp272_us-foreign-policy-tools-in-the-era-of-disinformation.pdf

Google's Censorship

Google's biased algorithm is [legendary](#). They accomplish this via [Google News lab](#) which has several well-funded subsidiary organizations.

The FEC's murder of News Neutrality

This is clearly [illegal](#). The [conflicts of interest](#) by FEC Chairman Ajit Pai were solemnly overlooked.

Shadow Regulation

Shadow Regulations are voluntary agreements between companies (sometimes described as codes, principles, standards, or guidelines) to regulate your use of the Internet, often without your knowledge.

Shadow Regulation has become increasingly popular after the monumental failure of restrictive Internet laws such as ACTA, SOPA and PIPA. This is because Shadow Regulation can involve restrictions that are as effective as any law, but without the need for approval by a court or parliament. Indeed, sometimes Shadow Regulation is even initiated by government officials, who offer companies the Hobson's choice of coming up with a "voluntary" solution, or submitting to government regulation.

<https://www.eff.org/issues/shadow-regulation>

Censorship as it carried out by the above organizations is illegal according to a number of legislative and judicial precedents, including numerous Supreme Court Decisions and First Amendment rights however, it appears the USA is now in the midst of what can be called the 3rd Red Scare.

<https://thegreatlinking.files.wordpress.com/2021/06/alt-news-during-the-3rd-red-scare.pdf> (This is a series of five articles I wrote relating to alt-news and the latest “Red Scare” in the US.)

American Laws Prohibiting Censorship

First there is the 1st Amendment of the Bill of Rights.

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

(One can presume it was placed as the 1st Amendment, not say, the 3rd or 27th for a reason.)

Perhaps today’s US administration believes corporations can choose better leaders than [dumbed down](#) American voters. And thus democracy has become the anachronism of a bygone age. There is also the 14th Amendment that needs to be studiously ignored.

“No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

Those “little things” are competing against a multi-trillion dollar so-called “Defense Industry” budget. Forget them. Politicians can be bought. Attorney Generals can be dismissed and replaced. Nothing can stand in the way of the “defense industry” as it pushes the USA ever closer to the real “war to end all wars,” and quite possibly “civilization” as we know it. But what about oaths sworn by public officials to protect the security of the United States? Empty formalisms, nothing more.

The most definitive Supreme Court decisions in this matter were:

Yates v. United States, 354 U.S. 298 (1957)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/354/298/>

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/354/298>

Leaders of the Communist Party in California vs. USA. Decision: The state must prove “imminent threat” to suppress news, which they could not do and so lost this landmark

case. The First Amendment right to freedom of the press was upheld. This decision was the primary factor that brought the 2nd Red Scare to an end. But! Back then some people had some respect for law, unlike today.

Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1969)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/395/444/> (Right wing militia)

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/395/444>

Red Lion Broadcasting Co., Inc. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367 (1969)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/395/367/>

“Safeguarding the public’s right to “an uninhibited marketplace of ideas” requires diversity among those who own and control media outlets, to ensure that Americans remain free to choose among many sources of information, viewpoints, and ideas.”

New York Times v. United States, 403 U.S. 713 (1971)

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/403/713/> The United States, which brought these actions to enjoin publication in the New York Times and in the Washington Post of certain classified material, has not met the "heavy burden of showing justification for the enforcement of such a [prior] restraint."

<https://www.lexisnexis.com/community/casebrief/p/casebrief-n-y-times-co-v-united-states> The Court held that the government did not meet its burden of showing justification for the imposition of a prior restraint of expression. Furthermore, it stated that under the First Amendment, the press must be left free to publish news, whatever the source, without censorship, injunctions, or prior restraints, and that the guarding of military and diplomatic secrets at the expense of informed representative government was not justified.

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/media> The Supreme Court found that there is a heavy presumption against prior restraint of the press, and that the respondent's (here, the United States) vague use of the word "security" is not enough to overcome the importance of the freedom of the press guaranteed by the First Amendment.

The following are also relevant cases:

- *Rex v. Zenger* (1735)
- *People v. Croswell* (1804)
- *Near v. Minnesota* (1931) - A state law allowing prior restraint was unconstitutional. This decision also extended protection of press freedom to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment.
- *New York Times v. Sullivan* (1964)
- *Garrison v. Louisiana* (1964)

- *Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts and AP v. Walker* (1967)
- *Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart* (1976)
- *Hustler v. Falwell* (1988)

There are also a large number of international treaties the US has signed relating to freedom of expression and the press.

International Treatise & Laws Prohibiting Censorship

- The United Nations 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) – Article 19 Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

For a link library relating to international treatise and foundation international law relating to freedom of expression and press, see:

<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/FreedomOpinion/Pages/Standards.aspx>

Treasonous judges and presstitutes have pieces of silver and gold showered upon them and are praised to the heavens now, but in the histories to come they will be viewed as lower than the lowest of slaves for they sold their own integrity and the freedom of others for that silver and gold, whereas slaves had their freedom stolen from them.

Absolute Political Corruption

The 2010 Supreme Court Decision in *Citizens United Vs. FEC* was a landmark case leading to today's anonymous donations of **unlimited amounts of money**, which can be translated into **unlimited corruption**, distilled in a small erroneous comment in the Citizen's United decision relating to *quid pro quo*.

For a history of this treacherous decline into absolute lawless barbarism by the Supreme Court, see: <https://www.fec.gov/legal-resources/court-cases> They forged the chains that killed off the last vestiges of the dying democracy and enslaved the world. Those same platinum chains now hold them hostage too. What sublime irony.

Conflict of Interest issues

- <https://readsludge.com/2020/01/13/the-members-of-congress-who-profit-from-war/>
- <https://www.thedailybeast.com/firm-tied-to-biden-contenders-tony-blinken-lloyd-austin-michele-flournoy-looks-to-cash-in-on-covid-response>
- <https://prospect.org/world/how-biden-foreign-policy-team-got-rich/>
- <https://www.rt.com/op-ed/508991-caitlin-johnstone-biden-raytheon>
- <https://www.politico.com/story/2016/03/tom-daschle-officially-lobbyist-221334>

- <https://undocs.org/pdf?symbol=en/A/RES/51/59>

One can also just blow up the newspapers

Anyone who says that the USA is not censoring news is full of beans.

On February 22, 1975 the office of Milwaukee's leading alt-newspaper at the time, the Bugle American was blown up.



It was widely believed that the Milwaukee Police Department's Red Squad was responsible.

<https://www.wuwm.com/regional/2018-02-09/whatever-happened-to-milwaukees-alternative-newspaper-the-bugle>

<https://milwaukee-record.com/city-life/remembers-bugle-american-office-totally-got-firebombed/>

<https://archive.jsonline.com/news/obituaries/uwm-professor-sued-won-access-to-police-files-on-activists-b99310015z1-266946661.html>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Red_Squad

Also see: Armstrong, David. *A Trumpet to Arms: Alternative Media in America* (Houghton Mifflin, 1981), p. 148-149 et seq.

The lawlessness of the US is a contagious disease. America's closest allies also bomb press buildings in an attempt to [silence the truth](#) and spread terror to those who tell the truth.

Israel decimates building housing foreign media in Gaza

The 13-story al-Jalaa tower, one of Gaza's oldest multi-story buildings, had a total of 60 units, including offices of media companies, legal firms, and doctors, May 15, 2021

<https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20210515-israel-decimates-building-housing-foreign-media-in-gaza/>



<https://i1.wp.com/www.middleeastmonitor.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/cover.jpeg?w=960&quality=85&strip=all&zoom=1&ssl=1>

The USA and its' criminal state allies' politicians can point accusing fingers at other countries for censorship of the news, but when they do, it, it's AOK.



Palestinian paramedics and journalists carry a wounded fellow journalist during clashes with Israeli forces east of Gaza city on October 5, 2018 [SAID]

<https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20210614-israel-must-be-held-to-account-for-targeting-journalists-africa-union-says/>

Unlike other countries in the US legal precedents from court decisions become law. Thus, for example Supreme Court decisions in effect constitute laws; "case law" is the collection of past legal decisions written by courts and similar tribunals in which the law was analyzed for deciding current cases. These past decisions are called "case law", or precedents.

What does one call people who violate so many laws? Criminals.

On left Jimmy Carter

- El Salvador
- Guatemala
- Angola
- Afghanistan

Second from left

Bill Clinton

- Balkans
- Iraq
- Sudan
- Rwanda

Center Left

George H.W. Bush

- Gulf War
- Panama
- Somalia

Five War Criminals



Center Right

George W. Bush

- Iraq
- Afghanistan

On right Barak Obama

- Libya
- Syria
- Yemen
- Afghanistan
- Ukraine

How long did each of the children they murdered suffer before they died?

It depends, each one was unique.

When criminals work together in violation of laws, what are they called? Gangsters.

What law is usually used to prosecute gangsters?

The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ([RICO](#)). That those violations of law are profit motivated is demonstrated in the section above titled: Conflict of Interest Issues.

The United States has a proven [habit](#) of discriminating against and killing minorities along with their viewpoints obviously, in unimaginably huge numbers with [malice aforethought](#).

There was the genocide of the Native Americans, African and African American slavery, and all those instances of [attempts to overthrow other people's governments](#). Yet somehow generation after generation they continue to commit atrocities in the name of the USA and the vast majority of Americans ignore it. Why? Mostly because they don't really know. Whereas past generations of Americas had *some* access to diverging points of view, this generation now does not due to the interlocking labyrinths of American government and corporate digital censorship.

Censorship is the death knell of democracy

Censorship helps gangsters and tyrannical fascist states. It doesn't help the vast majority people in the USA or world. It is the death knell of democracy, escalating at a logarithmic rate now and unambiguously illegal in American law.

And how long did Alexander's empire last?

Within a generation it divided and collapsed.

What would be the results of that kind of collapse in the global context of today?

Global economic devastation in which at least a billion or two humans would die in the ensuing rapid overthrow of governments, subsequent anarchy, massive starvation due to supply chain disruption, and pandemic proliferation of all diseases. That is the best-case scenario. It is far more likely climatic changes from thermonuclear war would kill off 90% of humanity within a single generation; a scenario in which even the ultra-rich hiding on tropical islands would not be safe.

Only American and Western European voters can alter the vast momentum of current events outlined above. The only real questions are:

- Will they ever be permitted access to the information necessary to make better informed decisions before they vote?
- Do they have the will-power and energy to vote the war-mongers out within their own governments and restore civility and law to global political and economic affairs before its too late?

First published June 25th, 2021

Updated December 7, 2021